LiteBIRDにおける系統誤差とスキャン方法の関連性の研究

S. Uozumi (Okayama Univ.) for the LiteBIRD Phase-A1 working group 2017 Sep-15th JPS meeting



- LiteBIRD sky scan strategy is defined only by 3 values :
 - precession angle α (spin angle β = 95° - α)
 - Spin rate
 - Precession rate
- Current nominal values are determined on 2015-Feb Mission Definition Review.
- However, we try further optimization of the scan strategy values considering several more scientific aspects.



- At intermediate review of Phase A-1 (in April 2017), it is determined to adopt Half Wave Plate (HWP) for mission full-success by removing 1/f noise.
- According to design study policy, we proceed with following steps :
 - 1. Determine precession angle α (explained in next slide)
 - 2. Estimate appropriate value ranges of spin and precession rate



Large-alpha or small-alpha?

Items	Alpha=65°/Beta=30°	Alpha=45°/Beta=50°	Comments
Cross links	\sim	0	Large- α is slightly better than smaller- α
Hit uniformity	Larger hole, Already re	Smaller hole, Larger RMS	Hits concentrate more around center hole w/ small-alpha option
Revisit time uniformity	Δ (larger gaps in Δ t dist.)	Ported	Hole size also affects to revisit time
1/f noise mit. w/o HWP			No specific difference
Gain calib. w/ CMB dipole	Today		
Beam calibration w/ Planets		^{s topic}	
Thermal (External Interfaces)	Earth+moon to 4K: 0mW Sun to outer shell:794W Shadow: TBC	Earth+moon to 4K: 0mW Sun to outer shell: 911W Shadow: TBC	Light from earth/moon, Heat radiation plates, Shadow around the aperture <u>Values for α=65 are in the case of on Lissajous orbit , no orbit</u> <u>dependencies when α=45</u>
Thermal (Internal Interfaces)			Optical system support structure, Thermal Interfaces among the cold mission components, Thermal distribution
Optics	Baffle requirements : h>300mm	Baffle requirements : h>300mm	Side-lobe, Support Structure, Stray light Values for α =65 are in the case of on Lissajous orbit, no orbit dependencies when α =45
Solar panel	Requirement: > 3894 W	Requirement: > 4990 W	Sizing
Telemetry			Antennas for X/S bands
Thruster/propellant	Propellant: 542.0kg (Lissajous)	Propellant: 255.9kg (Halo)	Position of Thruster, amount of propellant
Reaction Wheel			Specification
HWP			Position/Angle
Refrigerators	2ST × 3 + JT × 2	2ST × 3 + JT × 2	Positions, Interfaces, Thermal conduction, Vibration
Focal plane detector			Thermal interfaces, Length of harness
Cost			
mass	4K shell + absorbers + mag shield: 83.3kg	4K shell + absorbers + mag shield: 89.4kg	Values for α=65 are in the case of on Lissajous orbit , no orbit dependencies when α =45 3

Gain calibration with CMB dipole

- Detector gain calibration will be done with CMB dipole.
- Image: Weight of the second second





• Simulation result shows 10~20% more precise gain calibration with the small- α case than the large- α .



Beam calibration with Jupiter

- Beam calibration is done using Jupiter (and other planets).
- More visible time of Jupiter gives more precise beam calibration.
- The Jupiter visible times are simulated and compared between small and large-a.





Jupiter

Alpha	Spin (rpm)	0.5 deg.	1.0 deg.	5.0 deg.	H. Ishino
65	0.10	3.56e2	1.46e3	3.77e4	
	0.06	3.81e2	1.42e3	3.77e4	
	0.02	3.49e2	1.51e3	3.88e4	
45	0.10	7.13e2	2.99e3	7.78e4	
	0.06	7.08e2	3.02e3	7.77e4	
	0.02	6.24e2	2.78e3	7.84e4	

• Again for the beam calibration, the small- α shows twice more Jupiter visible time than the large- α case.

Large-alpha or small-alpha?

Items	Alpha=65°/Beta=30°	Alpha=45°/Beta=50°	Comments	
Cross links	0	0	Large- α is slightly better than smaller- α	
Hit uniformity	Larger hole, smaller RMS	Smaller hole, Larger RMS	Hits concentrate more around center hole w/ small-alpha option	
Revisit time uniformity	Δ (larger gaps in Δ t dist.)	0	Hole size also affects to revisit time	
1/f noise mit. w/o HWP	Δ	Δ	No specific difference	
Gain calib. w/ CMB dipole	Δ	0	~10% better with small-alpha option	
Beam calibration w/ Planets	Δ	0	Planet visible time ~x2 longer with small alpha-option	
Thermal (External Interfaces)	Earth+moon to 4K: On Sun to outer shell:794W Shadow: TBC	th+moon to 4K: 0mW an to outer shell: 911W Shadow: TBC	Light from earth/moon, Heat radiation plates, Shadow around the aperture <u>Values for α=65 are in the case of on Lissajous orbit , no orbit</u>	
Thermal (Internal Interfaces)	ecision will be d	lone in next w	<u>dependencies when α=45</u> /eek /stem support structure, Thermal Interfaces among the cold mission components, Thermal distribution	
Optics	Baffle requirements: h>300mm	Baffle requirements: h>300mm	Side-lobe, Support Structure, Stray light Values for α =65 are in the case of on Lissajous orbit, no orbit endencies when α =45	
Solar panel	ove to optical/e	engineering a	Sizing	
Telemetry			Antennas for X/S bands	
Thruster/propellant	Propellant: 542.0kg (Lissajous)	Propellant: 255.9kg (Halo)	Position of Thruster, amount of propellant	
Reaction Wheel			Specification	
HWP			Position/Angle	
Refrigerators	2ST × 3 + JT × 2	2ST × 3 + JT × 2	Positions, Interfaces, Thermal conduction, Vibration	
Focal plane detector			Thermal interfaces, Length of harness	
Cost				
mass	4K shell + absorbers + mag shield: 83.3kg	4K shell + absorbers + mag shield: 89.4kg	Values for α =65 are in the case of on Lissajous orbit , no orbit dependencies when α =45 6	

Try slow scans

Satellite spin rate (rpm)	Precession time (hrs)
0.1	1.51
0.075	2.013
0.05	3.02
0.025	6.04
0.0125	12.08
0.01	15.1
0.005	30.2
0.0025	60.4

 \leftarrow Current nominal value

How slow can we allow ?

- In principle faster spin is preferred.
- However considering technical, cost and telemetry rate issues, we have to find out "slowest limit" of scan speed.
- Performance with each scan speed is evaluated by :
 - Cross-links
 - Hit Uniformity

Cross-links (original definition)

Relation aiming cross-link, systematics and T ->B leakage (arXiv:1604.02290v1)

$$d_i = \frac{1}{2}(d_i^A - d_i^B)$$

incident with the pointing centre. We denote the beam decomposed into spherical harmonics as $b_{\ell k}^X$ and the temperature sky is denoted by $a_{\ell m}^T$. The error on the differenced signal between the two detectors within a pair is then

(10)

(11)

(29)

$$\begin{split} \delta d_i^{\mathbf{e}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell m} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}} \left(b_{\ell m}^A a_{\ell m}^{T*} - b_{\ell m}^B a_{\ell m}^{T*} \right), \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}} \left(\delta b_{\ell,2}^i a_{\ell,2}^{T*} + \delta b_{\ell,-2}^i a_{\ell,-2}^{T*} \right), \end{split}$$

Beam :

$$B(\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi q \sigma^2} e^{-\frac{\theta^2}{2\sigma^2}(\cos^2\phi + q^{-1}\sin^2\phi)}$$

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{h}_{n}|^{2} &= \langle \cos n\theta \rangle^{2} + \langle \sin n\theta \rangle^{2} \\ n &= \text{"spin of cross-link"} \end{split}$$

$$\Delta C_{\ell}^{BBg} &= \frac{1}{8} \langle |\tilde{h}_{2}|^{2} \rangle |\delta g_{1} + i\delta g_{2}|^{2} C_{\ell}^{TT}, \qquad (26)$$

$$\Delta C_{\ell}^{BBp} &= \frac{1}{32} \langle |\tilde{h}_{1}|^{2} \rangle \left| \rho_{1} e^{i\chi_{1}} + \rho_{2} e^{i(\chi_{2} + \pi/4)} \right|^{2} \ell^{2} C_{\ell}^{TT}, \qquad (27)$$

$$&+ \frac{1}{32} \langle |\tilde{h}_{3}|^{2} \rangle \left| \rho_{1} e^{-i\chi_{1}} + \rho_{2} e^{-i(\chi_{2} - 3\pi/4)} \right|^{2} \ell^{2} C_{\ell}^{TT} \\ \Delta C_{\ell}^{BBe} &= \frac{1}{4} \left| \Im \left[\frac{\delta b_{\ell 2}^{1} + \delta b_{\ell 2}^{2}}{b_{\ell 0}} \right] \right|^{2} C_{\ell}^{TT}, \qquad (28)$$

$$&+ \frac{1}{8} \langle |\tilde{h}_{4}|^{2} \rangle \left| \frac{\delta b_{\ell 2}^{1} - \delta b_{\ell 2}^{2}}{b_{\ell 0}} \right|^{2} C_{\ell}^{TT}, \qquad (28)$$

Symbol	Description	Value set to in relevant simulation
ψ	The orientation of the scan direction with respect to North	Varies with scan strategy, position and time
\tilde{h}_n	The average of the complex exponential of the orientations for a pixel, $\langle e^{in\psi}\rangle_{\rm hits}$	Varies with scan and pixel
FWHM	the full width at half the maximum of the beam	7 arcmin for all the simulations
δg_i	The differential gain between the two detectors in pair i	0.01 for both detector pairs
$ ho_i$	The angle between the two beam centres in pair i	0.1 arcmin for both (1.5% of the FWHM)
χ_i	The orientation of the second beam from the first in a detector pair i relative to the direction of the scan	0 and $\pi/4$
$b_{\ell m}$	The spherical harmonic decomposition of the temper- ature beam	That of an elliptical Gaussian — see equation (29)
$\delta b^i_{\ell m}$	The spherical harmonic decomposition of the difference of the temperature beams of pair i	That of an elliptical Gaussian — see equation (29)
q	Ellipticity parameter for the elliptical Gaussian beam. Note that $q = 1$ is axisymmetric (see equation 29). q is also the ratio of the major and minor axes of the ellipse.	1.05 and 1

Cross-link v.s. Satellite spin rate (small- α case)

Cross-link with HWP spin-1



Cross-link with HWP spin-2

Hit uniformity v.s. Satellite spin rate (Small-alpha option)

RMS_of_num_of_hits



Summary

- The LiteBIRD sky scan strategy is optimized and being decided whether we will take which precession angle (large-α=65° or small-α=45°).
- Comparison study shows small-a option is preferable.
- Slow speed scan is tested and we found that spin rate must be greater than ~0.0025 rpm.

Precession rate is also being considered.

 According to those study results, the scan strategy will be defined in next week, and we will proceed to engineering study of the Satellite.

Backups

Alpha=65 deg. spin rate = 0.1rp@ain measurement per 819.2 sec





Past study with precession time & spin rate

Nominal values : α =65°, β =30°, α + β =95°, precession time=1.51hrs, spin revolution rate=0.3rpm (large-alpha option)



- In principle, we prefer
 - Fast spin (in range of < 0.3 rpm)
 - Not too fast, not too slow precession time
 (it also relates with ADR recycling dead time, I will show later)
- Descope option in case of HWP mulfunction, faster spin helps destriping
- CMB dipole gain calibration prefer faster scan
- But telemetry limitation requires slow scan.
- Where is the allowed region of those parameters?