# HFI systematics. Lessons learned

#### Guillaume Patanchon for the Planck Collaboration

# Lessons from Planck

- □ The data analysis and cleaning was a long process and required many iterations
- At the end, we reached the detector fundamental limit for cosmological channels
- □ Some effects were not expected at the level we found them in flight data
  - --> ADC non-linearities
  - --> Long time constants
  - --> Response to cosmic rays
  - --> 1/f noise
  - --> Band-pass mismatch
- □ Coupling between effects was problematic. Ex: 4K lines and ADC non-linearities
- but for future experiment targeting  $\sigma_r < 10^{-3}$ , systematic effects must be controlled to a higher precision, although many effects will probably scale as 1/Ndet.
- Importance of observation redundancies: different survey, different scanning angle (limited for Planck), different detectors etc..., importance of the dipole, 353 GHz is harder to process
- □ Importance of house keeping data. E.g: fully sampled raw data for the ADC correction.
- Many affect as band-pass mismatch, polarization efficiency, calibration are coupled and need to be corrected at the map-making level, with the help of the dipole

#### Data reduction

Model of the raw data:

Electronic response 4K lines (A<sub>k</sub>, w<sub>k</sub>, ...)  

$$d_{i}(t) = g_{i} \int R_{i}(t - t') W(t') \left[ X_{i}(t') + \sum_{j} T_{ij}(t') \right] dt' + Q_{i}(t) + n_{J_{i}}(t) + \sum_{c} F_{ic}(t) + C_{i}(t) + C_{i}(t') + C$$

Data are digitized, averaged over 40 samples, and compressed on board

Data processing: compression Goal to reach  

$$d_i(t_p) = \{B_{\psi_{it_p}} * [S_i + o]\}(\vec{r_{t_p}}) + n_{i;\text{total}}(t_p)\}$$

Symmetrized lobe

## Cosmic rays at L2



Cut off due to material around the detectors at  $\sim 50 \mbox{ MeV}$ 

No contribution from solar particles which can not reach the detectors, except during flares

Amplitude of the spectrum at L2 is modulated by solar activity



## CR interaction with HFI detectors



Thermal modeling is important. Long time constants come from the links between the wafer and the detector housing - short glitches are direct impact of protons in the grid/thermistor. Should be representative of response to photons.

This was proved with the help of ground tests with alpha particles

#### Ground tests and thermal modeling

Ground tests did not provide a definitive answer on the thermal path



Simulation of a 23MeV Proton in the silicon die





## Cosmic ray removal



## Noise in HFI time ordered data



Glitches below the detection threshold common between PSB-a and PSB-b Provide a limit on the level of remaining glitches in data

#### Lesson learned

CR signals were a rich probe of detector and focal plane parameters, allowing to constrain some systematic effects



Coherent picture of the interaction: Balistic phonons + thermal propagation

- Origin of the low frequency noise, correlated among detectors
   Events on the bolometer plate
- Long time constants

The 2-second TC induces a bias on the power spectrum of ~1-2% if uncorrected



## Lessons for future experiments



- All events were detected in Planck!!
- Ballistic phonons helped the detection
- Could create corraleted noise with large wafers



## Main systematic effects

- Additive effects : Glitches, unexpected 1/f noise, microphonic noise
- Main effects I to P leakages, different detectors had to be combined to estimate Q and U Stokes parameters
  - ADC non-linearities
  - Band-pass mismatch
  - Long time constants
- Other systematics
  - Beam + time constants

Many effects scale with  $\langle \cos 2\Psi \rangle$ and  $\langle \sin 2\Psi \rangle$ . The use of a HWP and better angle redondancies as planned for LiteBIRD help.

 Use of redundancies of observations and of the strong dipole signal to calibrate and correct the data : Surveys with opposite scanning directions allowed optimization of parameters and correction of many systematic effects.

## Survey difference maps

#### Survey difference maps were useful to track and characterize systematic effect



#### Beam and transfer function estimation

- Time response is degenerate with the beam response
- The time response and beam shapes are estimated using a combination of planet scans (by symmetrizing the beam shape), galaxy crossings, bias steps (CPV phase) and glitch data.
- The pointing uncertainties (~ 3 arcsec) and glitch is the main source of errors in the main lobe estimation



Corrections of the transfer function at the likelihood optimization stage



## **ADC non-linearities**



#### **ADC** correction

The correction is very effective but limited by the 4K line estimation.



## Band-pass mismatch

Differences in the band shapes from detector to detector induced intensity to polarization of galactic components when calibrating on CMB



#### Band-pass mismatch correction

-Band passes were measured from the ground, but leakage coefficients have to be estimated from flight data

$$m = T_{Sky} + (\gamma_{Dust} - 1)T_{Dust} + (\gamma_{CO} - 1)T_{CO} + \dots$$

- Joint estimation of CO and dust leakages at the map-making level. Naturally minimizes the survey difference contamination. Coupled with many effects.



#### Summary of systematic effects (HFI)

- ADC is the dominant systematic effect
- Its contribution is at the level of the noise at low ells
- Other systematic effects are negligible after processing

